

## Costed Evaluation Plan UNFPA Türkiye Country Office

Programme cycle	United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) Türkiye 8th Country Programme (2026-2030)
Indicative budget	US\$ 25 million
Country programme priority areas (outputs)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>Output 1:</b> Improved national capacity to integrate demographic change and preparedness into policy-making and financing to facilitate investing in education, healthcare and protection of women and youth</li> <li>● <b>Output 2:</b> Strengthened capacity of systems, institutions and communities to protect and empower women and girls for enhanced role in economic and social development</li> <li>● <b>Output 3:</b> Strengthened capacity of systems, institutions and civil society organizations to empower youth well-being, education and employability for economic dynamism and demographic resilience</li> <li>● <b>Output 4:</b> Strengthened capacity of systems, institutions and civil society organizations to promote safe motherhood and reach desired family size to enhance women's health and contribute to employability.</li> </ul>
Previous country programme evaluation	<p><b>Period covered:</b> 6th Country Programme (2016-2020)</p> <p><b>Year of completion:</b> 2019</p> <p><b>Evaluation quality assessment rating:</b> Good</p>
Gap mapping/analysis of relevant evaluative evidence and knowledge gaps that are strategically important to inform the design and implementation of the upcoming country programme	<p>A country programme evaluation (CPE) was not conducted during the 7th programme cycle. The previous cycles reviews and evaluations emphasized the need to focus on demographic change and preparedness, as well as adaptability to crises, gender equality and youth empowerment, by integrating health and protection with socio-economic policies. Evaluative evidence gaps can be identified as the lack of regular, nationally representative and disaggregated data, particularly on gender-based violence, harmful practices (child, early and forced marriage) and youth vulnerabilities - especially among refugees, Roma, and young people not in education, employment or training. There is limited evaluative insight into the quality and reach of SRHR services for underserved groups, including adolescents, men, and those in humanitarian settings. Gaps also persist in understanding fertility intentions, the impact of unpaid care work on gender equality, and how demographic vulnerabilities are addressed in crisis preparedness and climate resilience efforts. These limitations hinder robust assessment of programme effectiveness and equitable outcomes.</p>

## Evaluations

Evaluation title	Intended use of evaluation findings	Type of evaluation	Humanitarian evaluation (yes; partially; no)	Joint evaluation (yes; no), including partners where applicable	Programme/ project budget in US\$	Evaluation estimated budget and source of funding (regular resources (RR); other resources (OR)) in US\$	Timeframe (month and year)	Evaluation manager
Country Programme Evaluation (8th cycle, 2026-2030)	Inform the design of the new country programme; refine the targeting of strategies and interventions under the new country programme, ensuring they reach and benefit the furthest left-behind groups; determine the scale up or discontinuation of strategies and interventions under the new country programme; inform decision-making and the strategic positioning of UNFPA in the country; enhance accountability towards the national government, donors, and rights holders	Country programme evaluation (CPE)	Partially	No	25 million	50,000 (RR)	Preparation phase: October - December 2028  Implementation phase: January - August 2029	CO Programme Analyst, Monitoring and Evaluation
United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework (UNSDCF) evaluation (2026-2030)	Inform the development of the next UNSDCF and its alignment with national needs and priorities; strengthen UNFPA's strategic positioning and added value within the UN Country Team; refine UNFPA's contributions within joint UN initiatives to maximize impact;	United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation (UNSDCF) evaluation	No	Yes  Resident Coordinator Office (RCO), all UN Country Team	Tbd by RCO	3,000 (RR)  (UNFPA's contribution to the RCO)	January - December 2029)	RCO with support of UN entities

	enhance accountability to the national government, donors, and rights holders			members, Government of Türkiye				
--	---	--	--	--------------------------------	--	--	--	--

Evaluation Capacity Development						
Evaluation capacity development activity	Objectives of evaluation capacity development activity	Category of evaluation capacity development (internal; national)	Type of evaluation capacity development (individual; institutional; enabling environment)	Estimated budget and source of funding (regular resources (RR); other resources (OR)) in US\$	Timeframe (month and year)	Targeted stakeholders
Participation in the Independent Evaluation Office (IEO)-led cross-regional evaluation capacity building workshop	Develop the knowledge and skills of CO staff to plan, manage, conduct, disseminate and use a country programme evaluation	Internal	Individual	3,400 (RR)	June 2028	CO Programme Analyst, Monitoring and Evaluation