

## Costed Evaluation Plan Bosnia and Herzegovina Country Office

Programme cycle	United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) Bosnia and Herzegovina 4th Country Programme (2026-2030)
Indicative budget	US\$ 16 million
Country programme priority areas (outputs)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>Output 1:</b> Strengthened formulation and implementation of evidence-based national and subnational laws, policies, and programmes to build demographic resilience, and increase access to high-quality sexual and reproductive health and reproductive rights (SRHR), Gender Based Violence (GBV) prevention and response, and gender equality, especially for those most left behind.</li> <li>● <b>Output 2:</b> Strengthened capacity of sub-national health systems, institutions and communities to deliver high-quality, non-discriminatory, integrated SRH, GBV prevention and response with increased access by women, adolescents and youth, and persons with disabilities.</li> <li>● <b>Output 3:</b> Strengthened capacities of civil society organizations, authorities, private sector and individuals to address harmful gender and social norms in order to advance gender equality, reproductive rights and social cohesion and to contribute to building demographic resilience.</li> </ul>
Previous country programme evaluation	<p><b>Period covered:</b> 3rd Country Programme (2021-2025)</p> <p><b>Year of completion:</b> 2025</p> <p><b>Evaluation quality assessment rating:</b> Highly satisfactory</p>
Gap mapping/analysis of relevant evaluative evidence and knowledge gaps that are strategically important to inform the design and implementation of the upcoming country programme	<p>The Bosnia and Herzegovina Country Office (CO) completed a country programme evaluation (2021-2025), and reviewed the existing centralized, regional and country-level evaluative evidence to develop a comprehensive <a href="#">evaluative evidence mapping</a>, which informed the development of the new country programme (CP) (2026-2030). Based on the existing evidence, the following was concluded:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● For <b>Output 1 of the CP</b>, the evaluation has shown the need for further engagement of UNFPA in the provision of technical support and advocacy towards evidence-based development, adoption and financing of relevant policies and legislation across all subnational levels of government.</li> <li>● For <b>Output 2 of the CP</b>, evaluations emphasise the need for further strengthening the capacities of relevant government authorities and women's associations for provision of higher quality services to persons in vulnerable situations. Current Protected Health Information (PHI) data suggest high mortality from preventable reproductive cancers and the need for development and adoption of relevant screening programmes. CO is currently working on research that will shed more light on ongoing capacities of the health authorities to provide screening and timely treatment of reproductive cancers. Based on <a href="#">GBV prevalence data (2019)</a>, there is further need for strengthening referral systems in the country and the provision of public services to survivors of GBV (and CRSV). However, there is data missing to better understand the levels of satisfaction of survivors with the existing protection services. To learn more, UNFPA will join the UN Women and the International Organization for Migration (IOM) to work on the evaluation of the current women, peace and security project.</li> </ul>

- For **Output 3 of the CP**, there is available data on labour market participation of women and active employment measures reported by the International Labor Organization (ILO). However, there is little or no understanding of the skills and needs of women in vulnerable situations that would ensure adequate policies for their empowerment. UNFPA plans to collect such data in cooperation with University College London and support governments with the development of women's empowerment policies and legislation. The ongoing peace-building initiatives will be continued into the next Country Programme Document (CPD) as it is seen as the foundation for all other work of UNFPA in the country, especially from the perspective of demographic resilience where [youth emigration data \(2021\)](#) shows the lack of social cohesion as one of the main factors of emigration.

## Evaluations

Evaluation title	Intended use of evaluation findings	Type of evaluation	Humanitarian evaluation (yes; partially; no)	Joint evaluation (yes; no), including partners where applicable	Programme/ project budget in US\$	Evaluation estimated budget and source of funding (regular resources (RR); other resources (OR)) in US\$	Timeframe (month and year)	Evaluation manager
United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework (UNSDCF) evaluation (2026-2030)	Inform the development of the next UNSDCF and its alignment with national needs and priorities; strengthen UNFPA's strategic positioning and added value within the UN Country Team; refine UNFPA's contributions within joint UN initiatives to maximize impact; enhance accountability to the national government, donors, and rights holders	United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation (UNSDCF) evaluation	No	Yes  Resident Coordinator Office (RCO), all UN Country Team members	Tbd by RCO	50,000 (Development Coordination Office (DCO) funding)	January - December 2029	RCO with support of UN entities
Final Evaluation of the joint programme "Women	Inform the development of capacities of public institutions to	Project/ programme	No	Yes	5 million	80,000 (OR)	January - December	Joint evaluation

Lead the Way Towards Peace and Security in Bosnia and Herzegovina”	provide health care, social welfare and protection to survivors of gender-based violence and conflict-related sexual violence	evaluation		UN Women and IOM; UN Women is lead agency			2026	management group representing all participating UN agencies (chaired by representative from UN Women)
--	---	------------	--	---	--	--	------	---

Evaluation Capacity Development						
Evaluation capacity development activity	Objectives of evaluation capacity development activity	Category of evaluation capacity development (internal; national)	Type of evaluation capacity development (individual; institutional; enabling environment)	Estimated budget and source of funding (regular resources (RR); other resources (OR)) in US\$	Timeframe (month and year)	Targeted stakeholders
N/A						