

ANNEX 1

Funding Compact – UNFPA agency-specific reporting 2021

MEMBER STATE COMMITMENTS

Relevant Commitment	Relevant Funding Compact Indicators	System-wide Baselines & Targets from Funding Compact	UNFPA Progress 2021 ¹
Aligning funding t	to entity requirements		
1. Increase core resources for the UNDS	Core resources as share of voluntary funding for development related activities	Baseline (2017): 19.4% Target (2023): 30%	Core resources to UNFPA as share of overall funding: Baseline 2018: 30% 2019: 28% 2020: 33% 2021: 28% Core resources to UNFPA as a share of funding for development-related activities (excluding humanitarian): Baseline 2018: 35% 2019: 35% 2020: 32% 2021: 37%
	Core share of funding for development-related activities (including assessed contributions);	(2017): 27.0%	UNFPA is entirely voluntarily funded and does not receive assessed contributions
2. Double share of non-core contributions provided through development related pooled & thematic funds	% of non-core resources for development related activities channelled through inter-agency pooled funds	Baseline (2017): 5% Target (2023): 10%	Inter-agency pooled funding as a share of development related non-core resources: Baseline 2018: 18% 2019: 26% 2020: 32% 2021: 30%
	% of non-core resources for development related activities channelled	Baseline (2017): 3% Target (2023): 6%	Development-related thematic funding as a share of development-related noncore resources from to UNFPA: Baseline 2018: 23% 2019: 25.6%

¹ Milestones may not be applicable to all indicators (e.g. 'yes/no' indicators will not have a milestone).

	amough single agency		2020. 2270
	thematic funds		2021 :27%
Providing stability	,		
3. Broaden the	Number of UNSDG	Baseline (2017): 66%	Number of government partners
sources of funding support to the UNDS	entities reporting an annual increase in the number of contributors of core resources	Target (2023): 100%	contributing core resources to UNFPA: Baseline 2018: 108 2019: 106 (decrease) 2020: 96 (decrease) 2021: 101 (increase)
	Number of Member State contributors to development related inter-agency pooled funds and single agency thematic funds	Baseline (2017): 59 for inter-agency pooled funds, and 27 for single agency thematic funds	Number of member state partners contributing to inter-agency pooled funds administered by UNFPA: Baseline 2018: 6 2019:16 Milestone 2020: 16 2021: 11
		Target (2023): 100 for inter-agency pooled funds, and 50 for single agency thematic funds	Number of member state partners contributing to UNFPA thematic funds: Baseline 2018: 13 Progress 2019: 16 Milestone 2020: 16 ² 2021: 17
4. Provide predictable funding to the	Funding gaps in UNSDG strategic plan financing frameworks	Baseline (2019): <i>tbd</i> Target (2021): <i>tbd</i>	Difference between planned and actual revenue in 2021: -Core: surplus + \$63m
specific requirements of			-Non-core: surplus + \$401.5m
UNSDG entities as articulated in their SPs and to the UNDAF			Difference between actual (2018-2021) and planned allocations (2018-21) ³ : -Outcome 1: +2%
funding needs at country level			-Outcome 2: no difference
·			-Outcome 3: +3%
			-Outcome 4: -2%
			- Organizational effectiveness and efficiency: -4%
	Fraction of UNDS entities indicating that at least 50% of their contributions are part of multi-year commitments	Baseline (2017): 48% Target (2023): 100%	Fraction of UNFPA contributions that was multiyear: ⁴ Baseline 2018: 40% Progress 2019: 55% Milestone 2020: 49%

2020: 22%

through single agency

 ² Includes European Commission
 ³ For details, see the Report, section Allocation of resources in 2018-2020

⁴ Multi-year agreements are those with a lifetime of two years or more. These do not include any amendments.

Facilitating coherence and efficiency

7. Fully comply with cost recovery rates as approved by respective governing bodies Average # of cost recovery support fee waivers granted per UNDS entity per year

Baseline (2017): *tbc*

Target (2019 onwards): 0

Number of cost recovery waivers granted by UNFPA with approximate impact:

Baseline 2018: UNFPA granted 10 cost recovery waivers with an impact of approximately \$308,138.

2019: UNFPA granted 3 cost recovery waivers with an impact of approximately \$17,112.

2020: UNFPA granted 3 cost recovery waivers with an impact of approximately \$ 174,361

2021: UNFPA granted 3 cost recovery waivers with an impact of approximately \$ 42,146

<u>UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM COMMITMENTS</u>

Relevant Commitment	Relevant Funding Compact Indicators	System-wide Baselines & Targets from Funding Compact	UNFPA Baselines (2018 or 2019)
Accelerating result	s on the ground		
1. Enhance cooperation for results at country	Fraction of UNSDG entities reporting at least 15% of development	(2017) 9 entities on a total of 29 or 31% reporting at least 15%	Baseline 2018: 15% of UNFPA development expenditures delivered through joint activities
level	related expenditures on joint activities ⁵	of development related expenditures on joint activities	2019: 18% of UNFPA development expenditures delivered through joint activities.
			2020: 26.5 % of UNFPA developmen expenditures delivered through joint activities ⁶ .
			2021: 20% of UNFPA development expenditures delivered through joint activities.
	% of RCs that state that	Baseline (2017): <i>tbd</i>	Baseline 2018: 100% - As the new
	at least 75% of CPDs are aligned to the UNDAF in their country	Target (2023): 100%	guidance for the UN Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework (replacing the UNDAF) is rolled out, UNFPA will aim to ensure that all new CPDs are aligned to new UNSDCFs. Currently, 100% of all CPDs are reflective of the respective UNDAF in the results matrix – this is a key requirement in the existing UNFPA guidance.
			2019 and 2020: 100% - UNFPA will continue to fully align all new CPDs to UNSDCFs. This is a mandatory instruction for all CPDs (Source: UNFPA Programme Review Committee).
			2021 and 2022 : 100% - UNFPA will continue to fully align all new CPDs to UNSDCFs. This is a mandatory instruction for all CPDs (Source:

5 -

⁵ To date, an agreed definition amongst the UNSDG of what constitutes 'joint activities' does not exist. The lack of a commonly agreed definition for "joint activities" that captures the full gamut of undertakings under this umbrella term, prevents coherent and comparable data across the United Nations system. In this regard, UNFPA joined the UN Development Cooperation Office (DCO), UNDP, UNICEF and UN Women to initiate system-wide efforts to discuss and agree on a definition that captures joint activities in a more systematic way – in part to strengthen UN system-wide coherence and coordination to achieve shared results.

⁶ In the interim period while a definition and /or methodology is agreed, UNFPA calculates % of joint activities by dividing the amount of pooled funding by total non-core funding. This is a proxy capturing joint programmatic aspect only. The % would be much higher if activities such as joint-design, joint work planning etc. would be estimated and reflected.

UNFPA Programme Review Committee).

2. Increase
collaboration on
joint and
independent
system-wide
evaluation
products to
improve UN
support on the
ground

% of UNSDG Evaluation Offices engaging in joint or Independent System Wide Evaluations (ISWE) Baseline (2018): 29% (joint eval), 20% (ISWE)

Target (2021): 75% (joint eval), 50% (ISWE)

Baseline 2018: 50% of UNFPA centralized evaluations are either joint or system-wide evaluations. This corresponds to 5 evaluations on a total of 10

2019: Almost 50 % (7 out of 15) of centralized evaluations being managed by UNFPA in 2019-2020 are either joint or system-wide, as described in the UNFPA Evaluation Office Annual Report on the Evaluation Function 2019.

2020: Almost 57 % (8 out of 14) of centralized evaluations being managed by UNFPA in 2020-2021 are either joint or system-wide, as described in the UNFPA Evaluation Office Annual Report on the Evaluation Function 2020.

2021: Almost 57 % (8 out of 14) of centralized evaluations being managed by UNFPA in 2021 are either joint or system-wide, as described in the UNFPA Evaluation Office Annual Report on the Evaluation Function 2021

Improving transparency and accountability

6. Strengthen the
clarity of entity
specific SPs and
IRRFs and their
annual reporting
on results against
expenditures

% of UNSDG entities that in their respective governing bodies held structured dialogues in the past year on how to finance the development results agreed in the new SP cycle Baseline (2017): 62%

Target (2021): 100%

Yes - In 2021, UNFPA held 1 informal SFD session, 1 joint session with UNDP, UNICEF and UNWomen, and 1 formal session with the Executive Board. Each SFD is supported by documentation publicly available at: https://www.unfpa.org/structured-funding-dialogues.

7. Strengthen entity & system-wide

% of UNDS entities individually submitting financial data to CEB

Baseline (2017): 69%

Target (2021): 100%

Yes – UNFPA submits its financial data to CEB annually.

transparency & reporting, linking resources to SDG results	% of UNDS entities publishing data as per the highest international transparency standards	Baseline (2017): 36% Target (2021): 100%	Yes – UNFPA data is published in line with IATI standards.
	% of UNDS entities with ongoing activities at country level that report expenditures disaggregated by country to the CEB baseline	Baseline (2017): 46% Target (2021): 100%	Yes – UNFPA reports expenditures to CEB disaggregated by country.
	% of UNDS entities that report on expenditures disaggregated by SDG	Baseline (2017): 20% Target (2021): 100%	Yes – UNFPA reports on expenditures disaggregated by SDGs and SDG targets to CEB and OECD.
9. Increase accessibility of corporate evaluations and internal audit reports within the disclosure provisions and	% of UNDS entities authorized within disclosure provisions and policies who have made their corporate evaluations available on the UNEG website	Baseline (2018): 21% Target (2021): 100%	Yes – UNFPA Evaluation office made 100% of corporate evaluation available in the UNEG database
policies set by governing bodies at the time of report issuance	% of internal audit reports issued in line with the disclosure provisions and policies set by the relevant governing bodies, which are available on a dedicated searchable UN-RIAS platform/ website, pending availability of resources;	Baseline (2018): 0 Target: TBD	2019-2020: UN-RIAS has no funding at present to get a platform on where to put internal audit reports for public, where possible by the disclosure policies of its members. UN-RIAS is working on putting together a proposal for an "oversight trust fund" with UNEG, and UN-RIAS in order to be able to receive funding to finance, inter alia, the development and maintenance of a UN-RIAS platform.
			2021-2022 - UN-RIAS is yet to set up a platform on which to disclose internal audit reports for the public, where mandated or possible by the disclosure policies of its members. UN-RIAS is working on putting together a proposal for an "oversight trust fund" with UNEG in order to receive funding to finance, inter alia, the development and maintenance of such a UN-RIAS platform.
	% of inter-agency pooled funds posting evaluation reports on the UNEG website	Baseline (2018): 0% Target (2019): 100%	Baseline 2018: Yes - All inter-agency pooled funds evaluation reports in which UNFPA is involved are posted on UNFPA website and UNEG website.

2019 and **2020**: Yes - All inter-agency pooled funds evaluation reports in which UNFPA is involved are posted on UNFPA website and UNEG website.

2021 and 2022: Yes - All inter-agency pooled funds evaluation reports in which UNFPA is involved are posted on UNFPA website and UNEG website.

10. Increase visibility of results from contributors of voluntary core resources, pooled & thematic funds and for program country contributions

Specific mention of voluntary core, pooled and thematic fund contributors, and program country contributions in UNCT annual results reporting and entity specific country and global reporting Baseline (2018): *n/a*

Target (2020): Yes

Yes - UNFPA mentions core contributors in the annual reports of the Executive Director, annual report on SFD 2018-2019-2020-2021, country level annual reports, UNFPA donor pages and other publications such as the "Top 20 UNFPA donors: global statistics", Core Resources Mobilization Campaign, and all documentation on Structured Funding Dialogues.

Specific mention of individual contributors in all results reporting by pooled fund and thematic fund administrators and UNSDG recipients Baseline (2018): *n/a*Target (2020): Yes

Yes – Pooled Funds - All UN pooled and inter-agency mechanisms mention individual contributors in annual reports, online platforms and publications. These include: UNFPA-UNICEF Global Programme to End Child Marriage/ UNFPA-UNICEF Joint Programme on Elimination of Female Genital Mutilation: Accelerating Change; the Spotlight Initiative to eliminate violence against women and girls; the Peace Building Fund, among others.

Agency specific thematic funds - All current UNFPA thematic funds mention individual contributors in their respective annual report, online platforms and other publications.

Increasing efficiencies			
11. Implement the SG's goals on operational	Consolidation of common premises (%)	Baseline (2017): 17% Target (2021): 50%	Baseline 2018: As of 31 Dec. 2018, UNFPA shared common premises in 72% of its office locations.
consolidation for efficiency gains			2019 : As of 31 Dec. 2019, UNFPA shared common premises in 72% of its office locations.
			2020: As of 31 Dec 2020, UNFPA shared common premises in 72% of its office locations.
			2021: As of 31 Dec 2021, UNFPA shared common premises in 72% of its office locations.
	% of UNCTs that have an approved BOS in place, to enable common back offices where appropriate	Baseline (2017): 20% Target (2021): 100%	Baseline 2018: UNFPA has implemented the BOS in 58 countries or 46% of its country offices.
			2019: UNFPA has implemented the BOS in 64 countries or 51% of its field offices. Furthermore, UNFPA is fully engaged in the global roll out plan managed by DCO to achieve 100% BOS implementation by the end of 2021.
			2020: In coordination with the BOS roll out of the DCO, 82 UNFPA field offices (or 66%) had completed a BOS as of 31 Dec 2020. It is expected that all UNFPA offices will have a completed BOS by June 2021
			2021: All UNFPA offices have a completed BOS as of 31 Dec 2021.
	% of UNSDG entities that report to their governing bodies on efficiency gains	Baseline (2017): 41% Target (2021): 100%	Yes – UNFPA reports on organizational efficiency and effectiveness (incl. key efficiency gains) in its annual report to the Executive Board, the Integrated Results and Resources Framework (IRRF), and the Statistical and Financial review.
	% of UNSDG entities that have signed the High-Level Framework on Mutual Recognition	Baseline (2018): 28% Target (2021): 100%	Yes – As part of the UNSDG BIG 4+1 Group UNFPA was among the first signatories of the High Level Framework on Mutual Recognition - signed in Q4/2018.

12. Fully
implement &
report on
approved cost-
recovery policies
and rates

% of UNSDG entities that report annually on the implementation of their approved cost recovery policies and rates to their respective governing body Baseline (2017): 51%

Target (2021): 100%

Yes – UNFPA reports annually to its Executive Board on the status of implementation of its cost recovery policy and rate (Annual Report of the Executive Director).

EXPLANATION OF METHODOLOGY/APPROACH PER INDICATOR

MEMBER STATE COMMITMENTS

Relevant Commitment	Relevant Funding Compact Indicators	Methodology / Approach ⁷		
Aligning funding to entity requirements				
1. Increase core resources for the UNDS	Core resources as share of voluntary funding for development related activities	Use DESA methodology for 19.4% baseline. Baseline is calculated by dividing core DEV voluntary contributions by total DEV voluntary contributions [i.e. Column C/(column C+column D) in Table A-3a of statistical annex to QCPR report]. Core funding income should be expressed as a share of development-related contribution - As this is a Member State commitment, the figures should be limited to contributions from member states.		
	Core share of funding for development-related activities (including assessed contributions);	Use DESA methodology for 27.0 % baseline. Baseline is calculated by dividing: core & assessed DEV contributions by total DEV voluntary contributions [i.e. (Column B+ Column C)/column E) in Table A-3a of statistical annex to QCPR report]. Core funding income should be expressed as a share of development-related contribution. As this is a Member State commitment, the figures should be limited to contributions from member states.		
2. Double share of non-core contributions provided through development related pooled & thematic funds	% of non-core resources for development related activities channelled through inter- agency pooled funds	Use of DESA methodology for 5% baseline: Using the inter-agency pooled fund database to obtain the total contributions made to development, transition and climate inter-agency pooled funds (\$706 million in 2017), divide this by total non-core contributions to development-related activities (column D in Table A-3a of statistical annex).		
	% of non-core resources for development related activities channelled through single agency thematic funds	Use of DESA methodology for 3% baseline. Total contributions to development-related thematic funds, divided by total non-core funding to development-related activities (column D in Table A-3a of statistical annex).		
3. Broaden the sources of funding support to the UNDS	Number of UNSDG entities reporting an annual increase in the number of contributors of core resources	Number of Member States contributing to core and increase/decrease from previous year & from 2017 baseline (in percentage and number).		
	Number of Member State contributors to development related inter-agency pooled funds and single agency thematic funds	Number of Member States contributing to entity administered pooled funds (breakdown per fund) and to agency managed thematic funds (breakdown per fund) and annual increase/decrease (in percentage and number). A single agency thematic fund is a single-entity funding mechanism designed to support high-level outcomes within the strategic plan; it is established by one UN entity, receiving contribution from several donors. The entity assumes full accountability for the funds received and is the sole direct recipient of the funding. A single agency can be Global (multi country) and Outcome or Thematic specific.		

⁷ Methodology/approach is provided by UN Development Coordination Office (DCO) for overall reporting on the Funding Compact and QCPR. In some cases, the methodology/approach has been adjusted or updated.

4. Provide
predictable
•
funding to the
specific
requirements of
UNSDG entities
as articulated in
their SPs and to
the UNDAF
funding needs at
country level

Funding gaps in UNSDG strategic plan financing frameworks

Percentage of funding received versus overall budget (per year and cumulative) - where possible and relevant, indicate funding gap per strategic plan outcome [and other relevant criteria, as appropriate]. Two proxies that assess funding gap against the Strategic Plan: a) Percentage of resource planned versus actual expenditure by Strategic Plan outcomes: Total programme expenditure for 2018-2019 against the 2018-2021 resource plan or X% of the mid-point target. b) Percentage of estimated contributions versus actual contribution received: In 2019, X received XX million, X% less/more than estimated [leaving a funding gap, of XX million / generating a surplus of XX million]. In 2018, X received \$X, X% higher/lower than the estimate.

Fraction of UNDS entities indicating that at least 50% of their contributions are part of multi-year commitments

Y/N (with exact figure reported), based on the following definition: "share of total contributions received in a given year that is part of a financial commitment that covers multiple years." (DESA survey)

7. Fully comply with cost recovery rates as approved by respective governing bodies Average # of cost recovery support fee waivers granted per UNDS entity per year Number of cost recovery waivers granted during the year (with \$ value of waivers combined). Note additional element of reporting (\$ value) compared to indicator

UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM COMMITMENTS

Relevant Commitment	Relevant Funding Compact Indicators	Methodology / Approach ⁸
1. Enhance cooperation for results at country level	Fraction of UNSDG entities reporting at least 15% of development related expenditures on joint activities	DCO to use UNINFO tool to track and report - joint activities are implemented by two or more UN agencies, including Joint Programmes as well as communication, advocacy, analysis etc. DCO to track the number of joint activities compared with the total number of activities (per CF and for the total CF currently in UNINFO); The total budget share of joint activities versus the total budget of the CF split between total planned and total expenditures. The question is asked in DESA survey of UN entity headquarters. For the purpose of the Compact reporting however, it is more appropriate and less transaction cost heavy to track the commitment at the RCO/UNCT level (rather than agency HQ level) through UNINFO.
	% of RCs that state that at least 75% of CPDs are aligned to the UNDAF in their country	Survey of RCs by DCO. Survey of entity specific CPDs.

⁸ Methodology/approach is provided by UN Development Coordination Office (DCO) for overall reporting on the Funding Compact and QCPR.

2. Increase collaboration on joint and independent system-wide evaluation products to improve UN support on the ground	% of UNSDG Evaluation Offices engaging in joint or Independent System Wide Evaluations (ISWE)	Y/N with number of joint evaluations and/or ISWE underway and specific example(s); Use the following definition of ISWE: "a systematic and impartial assessment of the relevance, coherence, efficiency, effectiveness, results, and sustainability of the combined contributions of United Nations entities, to achieve the goals and targets set out in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development". A joint evaluation implies the participation of at least 2 UN entities; an ISWE implies the participation of a majority of UN entities with a mandate related to the evaluation topic.".
6. Strengthen the clarity of entity specific SPs and IRRFs and their annual reporting on results against expenditures	% of UNSDG entities that in their respective governing bodies held structured dialogues in the past year on how to finance the development results agreed in the new SP cycle	Y/N with entity specific narrative on content of the dialogue, e.g.: issues raised / future agenda / alignment with Funding Compact
7. Strengthen entity & system-	% of UNDS entities individually submitting financial data to CEB	Y/N (with date of first report)
wide transparency & reporting, linking resources to SDG results	% of UNDS entities publishing data as per the highest international transparency standards	Y/N on reporting to IATI (with date of first report). DESA survey to mention IATI specifically.
	% of UNDS entities with ongoing activities at country level that report expenditures disaggregated by country to the CEB baseline	Y/N (with date of first report)
	% of UNDS entities that report on expenditures disaggregated by SDG	Y/N (with date of first report)
9. Increase accessibility of corporate evaluations and internal audit reports within the disclosure	% of UNDS entities authorized within disclosure provisions and policies who have made their corporate evaluations available on the UNEG website	Y/N with specific example(s) - add narrative related to disclosure provisions and policies
provisions and policies set by governing bodies at the time of report issuance	% of internal audit reports issued in line with the disclosure provisions and policies set by the relevant governing bodies, which are available on a dedicated searchable UN-RIAS platform/ website, pending availability of resources;	% of entity specific internal audits available on UN RIAS platform - add narrative related to disclosure provisions and policies
	% of inter-agency pooled funds posting evaluation reports on the UNEG website	Number of inter-agency pooled funds evaluation reports available on UNEG website divided by total inter agency pooled funds evaluations.

10. Increase visibility of results from contributors of voluntary core resources, pooled & thematic funds and for program country contributions	Specific mention of voluntary core, pooled and thematic fund contributors, and program country contributions in UNCT annual results reporting and entity specific country and global reporting	Y/N for pooled funds if entity is a fund administrative agent or AA and entity specific thematic funds; with specific example(s) / evidence and steps taken or to be taken to meet commitment
	Specific mention of individual contributors in all results reporting by pooled fund and thematic fund administrators and UNSDG recipients	Y/N or N/A (if entity is not a fund administrative agent or AA) and for entity specific thematic funds; with specific example(s) / evidence and steps taken or to be taken to meet commitment
11. Implement the SG's goals on operational consolidation for efficiency gains	Consolidation of common premises (%)	% of entity specific offices with entity specific narrative
	% of UNCTs that have an approved BOS in place, to enable common back offices where appropriate	% of entity specific offices with entity specific narrative
	% of UNSDG entities that report to their governing bodies on efficiency gains	Y/N with entity specific narrative
	% of UNSDG entities that have signed the High-Level Framework on Mutual Recognition	Y/N with year of signature and entity specific narrative
12. Fully implement & report on approved cost-recovery policies and rates	% of UNSDG entities that report annually on the implementation of their approved cost recovery policies and rates to their respective governing body	Y/N (with date of first report)